

23 July 2010 [16-10]

APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT

A1049		4 June 2010 npletion of Administrative Assessmen tive Assessment Completed: 24 June	
Applicant: Mo	Potentially Affected Standard in the Code:		
Title: Food from herbicide-tolerant, high oleic acid soybean Line MON 87705			1.5.2
Brief Descripti	on of Application:		
To seek permis		d from soybean genetically modified to le.	
Procedure: General Level 2		Estimated total hours:	Estimated start
		Up to 850	work: Late July 2010
Reasons why:		Reasons why:	
This is an Application for a GM food that is not currently permitted and involves a comprehensive, pre-market safety assessment.		This application is anticipated to involve an assessment of the risk to public health and safety of a relatively high level of complexity. FSANZ will need to undertake a case-specific	
Two of the novel/silenced genes in soybean line 87705 (cd4 epsps, fad2) used to confer herbicide tolerance and silence the fad2 gene have been		safety assessment including an evaluation of detailed molecular characterisation data uniquely relevant to this product.	
assessed previous other GM food a However, FSAN undertake an a	NZ will need to		
general scientific complexity that is specific for this Application.			

DECISION

Application accepted	
Date: 24 June 2010	

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

What documents are affected? DNA sequence (6 pages), Skipworth et al pp51-55, 57-58; Tu et al pp23-26, 30-83; Silvanovich et al pp5-24

Has the Applicant provided justification for Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes√ No

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No ✓

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No ✓

Details: Not applicable

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes√ No

If yes, indicate the reason:

Approval of the application would contribute to facilitating commercial activities of soybean line MON 87705.

If yes, indicate due date for fees to be paid (20 business days after notification of Admin Assess made). Note that if fees are not received by this date, the Application is automatically rejected.

Due date for fees: 22 July 2010

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

N/A

Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guidelines within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application: 3.1.1, 3.5.1

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guideline/s?

Yes√ No

Is the checklist completed?

Yes ✓ No

What information is not provided?

There are some minor omissions from the Handbook Requirements, but these are not sufficient to reject the application.

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes√ No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No√

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes√ No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

General

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME

Consultation Strategy:

Proposed length of public consultation periods:

General Procedure (6 weeks)

Community Involvement Category:

into

(Low intensity, narrow focus)

Proposed Timeframe for Assessment:

'Early Bird Notification' due: 27 July 2010

General Procedure:

Commence Assessment (clock start)

Completion of Assessment

Public comment

Board to complete Approval Notification to Ministerial Council

Anticipated gazettal if no review requested

20 July 2010

Mid- December 2010

Mid-December 2010 – early Feb 2011

Mid-April 2011 Late April 2011 Early July 2011